



Can UFOs Be Summoned or Signalled? A New Scientific Initiative Has Plans To Verify Such Claims This Year



Written by Christopher Sharp - 3 April 2025

Skywatcher, a civilian group led by Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena (UAP) whistleblower Jake Barber, has released a comprehensive Skywatcher Discovery Framework, marking a major milestone in its emergence as a major organization in UAP research.

The framework lays out a rigorous six-level process to investigate unidentified UAP with scientific discipline and transparency.

Skywatcher's team say this structured approach – complete with falsifiable experiments – will help bridge the gap between anecdotal UAP experiences and '**credible, scientifically validated discoveries.**'

Barber, a United States Air Force veteran whose testimony prompted an investigation from the Department of Defense's (DoD) dedicated UAP office, known as the All-domain

Anomaly Resolution Office (AARO), has positioned Skywatcher to tackle UAP claims that government agencies have struggled to resolve publicly.

“AARO is aware of Barber's claims and is investigating them,” a DoD spokesperson told Liberation Times in February this year.

Barber's allegations include that elements of the U.S. government have even explored summoning and attempting to communicate with UAP through mind-based or psionic methods - a term referring to an individual believed to possess heightened mental or psychic abilities, such as telepathy or remote influence.

Now, with the new Discovery Framework, Barber's team is attempting to test such unconventional ideas under controlled scientific conditions – rather than accept or dismiss them outright.

At the heart of Skywatcher's initiative is a step-by-step roadmap for turning mysterious sightings into verified findings. The Skywatcher Discovery Framework consists of six sequential levels, each requiring higher quality evidence and scrutiny before moving to the next.

‘By emphasizing a stepwise progression rooted in the scientific method, the Framework ensures measurable progress... and builds a process for credibly identifying and understanding unidentified phenomena,’ the Framework explains.

It adds that no claim will be advanced by Skywatcher without meeting strict ***‘Transition Criteria’*** that prevent shortcuts, meaning, ***‘multiple credible, unexplained indications warrant further investigation.’***

The framework Skywatcher will work within consists of the following six levels:

- **Level 1 – Preliminary Observation:** Collect initial reports of sightings and filter out those with obvious explanations (e.g. aircraft, planets).
- **Level 2 – Structured Data Collection:** Gather data with instruments (cameras, radar, etc.) under standardized procedures, yielding tangible evidence for analysis.
- **Level 3 – Analysis & Hypothesis Testing:** Analyze the high-quality data and test hypotheses to see if conventional explanations hold. Only if anomalies persist after rigorous analysis does a case move forward.
- **Level 4 – Independent Verification & Peer Review:** Invite independent experts to re-examine the data and attempt to reproduce findings. Peer review and outside analysis at this stage ensure the anomaly is real and not an error.
- **Level 5 – Public Disclosure & Review:** Release the vetted findings openly (e.g. on arXiv) for broad scrutiny. Engage the public and scientific community to review, replicate, or challenge the results.
- **Level 6 – Full Discovery & Integration:** If evidence is conclusive, the phenomenon is accepted as part of official knowledge. At this final stage, UAP findings (whether exotic or mundane) are integrated into science and policy with full transparency.

Skywatcher's framework emphasizes scientific rigour, data quality, and transparency at every step.

It is designed to ***‘filter out misidentifications early’*** and build confidence as evidence passes.

The Framework, led by lead author and strategic advisor Matthew Pines, emphasizes the importance of scientific rigour in investigating the phenomenon: ***‘Our near-term goal is to establish a repeatable, falsifiable process that can be tested independently,’*** it states—underscoring the principle that any genuine phenomenon must be able to withstand efforts to disprove it.

If a hypothesis fails under scrutiny, it will be documented and discarded.

By applying this disciplined approach, Skywatcher aims to set ***‘a new benchmark for evidence-based open science in the UAP field.’***

Using the new framework, Skywatcher is homing in on two intriguing research threads: Electromechanical Signaling and Neuromeditative Interaction.

These represent two very different approaches – one technological, one mind-based – that the team ***‘reason to believe are potentially effective’*** for inducing or correlating with UAP events.

The goal is to rigorously test both techniques with structured experiments and see if they truly prompt UAP activity or not.

‘By following the Framework’s six levels, we will either validate or definitively rule out these techniques, reaching a conclusive determination by the end of 2025,’ the document states.

Speaking to Liberation Times Jake Barber stated:

‘Skywatcher is conducting scientific operations in the field to bring hard data to the UAP mystery. We have made great progress in the short time we’ve been up and running and expect that progress to accelerate.’

‘It is our objective to bring definitive data to the public by the end of the year and resolve at least part of this essential question.’



In other words, Skywatcher has set a deadline to prove or disprove these ideas within the next year – a bold timeline for UAP research.

The first research thread, Electromechanical Signaling, explores whether certain electronic signals or equipment setups can attract UAP or cause them to respond.

The concept stems from decades of anecdotes that UAP seem to react to specific radar frequencies, lights, or other signals.

The document explains how five years have been spent refining a set of electronic ‘triggers’ – dubbed the ‘dog whistle’ – intended to prompt a UAP to show up.

According to Skywatcher preliminary results have been repeatable, with their signal configurations reliably drawing UAP to appear or fly directly overhead during field tests.

Multiple sensors are used simultaneously (from electro-optical cameras to infrared and radio detectors) to capture these incidents, strengthening the data collected. Crucially, control tests using random signals failed to lure any UAP, whereas the tailored signal suite ***‘to date never failed to elicit a response,’*** the team reports.

Despite these striking early claims, Skywatcher is treating Electromechanical Signaling as a hypothesis in Level 3 – the analysis and testing phase – rather than a proven phenomenon.

To advance confidence, the next step is moving to Level 4: independent verification.

‘We are not yet at the stage of independent verification,’ Skywatcher notes, explaining that so far the data looks anomalous but outside experts haven’t vetted it.

In a recent NewsNation interview with journalist Ross Coulthart, Barber stated that Skywatcher will be releasing promising footage on 7 April of a case involving a ‘tic tac’ UAP - similar to the one witnessed and engaged by Commander David Fravor in 2004.

Speaking to Coulthart alongside Pines, Barber stated:

“One of the classes [of UAP] that people are going to be most excited to see, because it’s very well known and arguably one of the most famous and interesting UFO UAP classes out there is the tic tac.

“So on April 7, you’ll get to see our interactions with the tic tac. We had a lot of success with the tic tac in episode two of the filming of that - it showed up for several days in broad daylight, dropping from above 80,000 feet.

“As everyone has heard, we pick it up on our radar. We track it, we image it through a number of different means. And so that’s going to be exciting to see, because that’s one of the ones that holds the most promise for an exciting conclusion right now.”

In the coming months, the team plans to publicly release even more portions of their data and methods (including an in-depth interview about the research) to invite scrutiny.

They plan to bring in a neutral third party – ideally an academic or scientific institution – to review the findings and check for any prosaic explanations like instrument error or environmental interference.

Skywatcher also intends to allow independent observers to witness experiments in real time, ensuring the results are not just a fluke or artefact of selective data.

If, after all that, the strange signals still appear to coax out UAP with no conventional explanation, the project will graduate to Level 5, full public disclosure.

If a mundane cause is found at any point, however, ***‘we will be prepared to revise or abandon the hypothesis accordingly,’*** the team emphasizes.

The second and more controversial line of inquiry is Neuromeditative Interaction – essentially, the idea that human consciousness or focused mental intent might influence UAP manifestations.

Barber and his colleagues acknowledge potential scepticism: ***‘While this concept is controversial, it is not without precedent—historically, various military and intelligence agencies have explored psi-related phenomena, and numerous anecdotal reports have suggested a non-physical or cognitive component to UAP interactions.’*** the framework notes.

On this approach, Barber has stated that in August 2024 his team attempted to ***‘summon’*** a UAP using a ‘psionic’ individual – reportedly resulting in an aerial dogfight between two unknown craft.

Rather than take any such stories at face value, Skywatcher aims to find out under controlled conditions if there is any truth to them.

‘Our goal is not to assume the validity of these claims but to test them,’ the document states, underlining a neutral, experimental approach.

So far, Skywatcher has worked with a few volunteer ‘neuromeditators’ – people who say they can initiate UAP appearances through meditation-like practices.

In early trials, participants reported seeing unexplained ‘orbs’ or lights after focusing their minds, and some even claimed a degree of control over the phenomena - for example, initiating multiple objects or specific movements.

These initial observations have yielded **‘statistically interesting results,’** according to Skywatcher, suggesting a possible correlation beyond random chance.

But, the data is still sparse and not yet rigorously vetted. Neuromeditative Interaction is currently assessed at Level 2 of the framework – meaning the team has collected some structured observations but has not begun formal hypothesis testing.

The next steps focus on tightening controls and ruling out normal explanations.

Before even advancing to Level 3, the Skywatcher team is validating the baseline – essentially double-checking that any apparent UAP responses aren’t just coincidences or biases.

They plan to increase the number of trials and include proper control groups, such as sessions where no one is meditating, or where the meditators don’t know when data is being collected, to see if UAP events truly occur more often during focused meditation than they would by chance.

Additionally, Skywatcher intends to monitor the physiological signals of the participants – such as brainwave activity (EEGs) and heart rate variability – to find any measurable changes that coincide with UAP sightings.

This could help identify a reproducible mental state or signature, if one exists, associated with purported UAP interaction.

A key criterion for moving this line of research forward will be repeatability. Skywatcher aims to develop a consistent protocol that others can follow, and demonstrate that different teams can achieve similar results under similar conditions.

If they manage to repeat the mind-linked phenomena reliably and still observe anomalous events, Neuromeditative Interaction will progress into Level 3 and eventually to outside verification. On the other hand, **‘if we find no replicable effect, we will conclude that [it] lacks scientific support and move to invalidate it,’** the document makes clear.

In short, the meditative-UAP connection will have to prove itself with hard data or it will be scrapped.

Jake Barber’s journey adds important context to Skywatcher’s push for legitimacy.

Barber is a former USAF airman who came forward in 2024 with explosive claims about covert advanced non-human UAP retrieval operations and even direct encounters with anomalous craft.

His disclosures – first made public in an interview with journalist Ross Coulthart on NewsNation – included recovering what he described as a pearl-white, egg-shaped object and, later, an octagonal disc, during secret missions.

Barber also recounted experiencing an intense telepathic shock during one recovery, fueling his belief that some UAP have a consciousness component.

Perhaps most provocatively, Barber alleged that U.S. government insiders have tried to use psychic individuals to summon UAP – essentially weaponizing mind-based techniques in classified programs.

If Skywatcher can verify that its techniques successfully summon UAP, it may offer a form of disclosure regarding advanced non-human entities visiting our planet—without requiring direct involvement from the U.S. government.

However, if these findings are later confirmed by AARO, it could trigger an unprecedented level of government engagement moving forward.